IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)
)
Plaintiff	,)
)
v.) Case No. 05-cv-329-GKF(PJC)
)
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,)
)
Defenda	nts.)

STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S OPPOSITION TO SIMMONS' FOODS, INC.'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER [DKT #1881]

Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, ex rel. W.A. Drew Edmondson, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma and Oklahoma Secretary of the Environment J.D. Strong, in his capacity as the Trustee for Natural Resources for the State of Oklahoma ("the State"), respectfully opposes Simmons' Foods, Inc.'s ("Simmons") motion for protective order [DKT #1881].

Simmons declares that it has produced all the financial information it is required to provide. Simmons' Motion at pp.3-6. However, a plain reading of the Federal Rules demonstrates that Simmons is wrong. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1) provides that "[p]arties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, that is relevant to the claim or defense of any party Relevant information need not be admissible at the trial if the discovery appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). "When the discovery sought appears relevant, the party *resisting* the discovery has the burden to establish the lack of relevance by demonstrating that the requested discovery (1) does not come within the scope of relevance as defined under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1), or (2) is of such marginal relevance that the potential harm occasioned by the discovery

would outweigh the ordinary presumption in favor of broad disclosure." General Electric Capital Corp. v. Learn Corp., 215 F.R.D. 637, 640 (D. Kan. 2003) (emphasis added). The Supreme Court interprets relevancy in the discovery context "broadly to encompass any matter that bears on, or that reasonably could lead to other matter that could bear on, any issue that is or may be in the case." Oppenheimer Fund, Inc., v. Sanders, 98 S. Ct. 2380, 2389 (1978). Simmons has not met its burden. The discovery regarding financial information sought by the State is clearly relevant to the punitive damages claims in this case, and Simmons fails to establish good cause for a protective order for that information.

One of the seven factors for a jury to consider in evaluating punitive damages under Oklahoma law is "[t]he financial condition of the defendant." See 23 Okla. Stat. § 9.1 (emphasis added). This Court has repeatedly held that discovery regarding a defendant's financial condition and net worth is appropriate when a claim for punitive damages has been made. In the City of Tulsa case, this Court held that "[i]t would appear that financial statements reflecting the Defendants' net worth from 1996 forward would be sufficient for the Plaintiffs' needs. . . . This order is without prejudice to Plaintiffs' re-urging the motion should additional financial information be necessary as the case progresses." (emphasis added).

The full financial statements that the State has repeatedly requested, including information on income and cash flow, and the notes that are "integral" to the financial statements, are clearly relevant evidence regarding Simmons' financial condition, a key element in the punitive damages analysis. Moreover, recent tax returns are also relevant to this analysis in that they are the data used and relied upon to prepare the financial statements and contain additional information that demonstrate how certain debt obligations are treated among related

entities and also contain information about how smaller private entities, such as Simmons, distribute profits.

Although Simmons' produced balance sheets in response to the State's request in mid-December, those balance sheets were incomplete and failed to include "accompanying notes [that] are an integral part of these financial statements." See Simmons Balance sheets filed in camera. By failing to produce information "integral" to the balance sheets, Simmons failed to fulfill its discovery obligations. Despite the State's multiple attempts to engage counsel to obtain complete copies of balance sheets including the integral notes, Simmons never responded to that request, or to the State's request for additional financial information. See Motion to Compel, Exs. F, G (Dkt #1868).

Citing Anderson v. Boeing Co., 02-CV-196-CVM-FHM, 2005 WL 6011245, Simmons argues that the issue of the amount of punitive damages to be awarded is not a proper topic for expert testimony. Simmons' Motion at p. 6. However, Anderson is easily distinguishable from the instant case. In that case, the expert actually opined about the nature of and premise behind punitive damages. The State does not intend, nor has Mr. Payne opined on this type of information. The only testimony the State seeks to proffer through Mr. Payne is the financial condition of Simmons (and others), which inherently includes the ability of a defendant to bear the costs of a judgment. See 23 Okla. Stat. § 9.1.

Simmons further claims that the State seeks the additional documents for an improper purpose. Anticipating that Defendants would take the commonly held position that a plaintiff is not entitled to financial information until punitive damages are at issue in the case, and recognizing that the financial condition of companies changes over time, the State determined the most prudent course of action was to pursue financial information when it was time to

prepare for the damages expert deadline. Had the State asked for this information a year earlier, Defendants inevitably would have argued even more strongly that the request was premature, and the State would have had to then pursue updated information again late in 2008. Thus, the State approached Defendants about this outstanding discovery in October 2008 in anticipation of the January 2009 expert damages deadline. Strikingly, Simmons ignores the fact that the State attempted to obtain this information months before its expert deadline. Had Simmons provided this highly relevant financial information at that time, there would be no issue as to whether supplemental reports are appropriate. Obviously, the State had hoped that the materials sought by counsel would have arrived sooner and that they would have been complete. But they were not, and counsel has been nonresponsive since that time.

Finally, Simmons has put the cart before the horse by arguing against any supplementation of Mr. Payne's report. First, the instant dispute about the discoverability of the financial information must be addressed. If the Court compels production of additional financial information, then Mr. Payne will have to review that information. Once he reviews the information, if he determines that a supplement to his report is appropriate because the information previously provided by Defendants was incomplete or inaccurate, then the State will seek leave from this Court for such a supplement. However, unless or until those steps occur, the State does not know whether seeking leave for a supplement to Mr. Payne's report would be appropriate. Thus, whether or not a supplement from Mr. Payne is appropriate is an issue for another day.

Moreover, whether or not this Court will allow supplementation of Mr. Payne's report¹, the State is entitled to the information sought in order to rebut any expert designated by Simmons regarding financial condition or to adequately cross examine Simmons' corporate witnesses regarding its financial condition. Mr. Payne is not the only vehicle for presenting evidence of financial condition of any given defendant to a jury.

For the reasons stated herein, the Court should deny Simmons' motion for protection and order Simmons to respond to the State's requests for information pertaining to its financial condition and to provide the specific documents delineated herein.

Respectfully Submitted,

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 ATTORNEY GENERAL Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 J. Trevor Hammons OBA #20234 Daniel P. Lennington OBA #21577 ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21st St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

/s/ Richard T. Garren

M. David Riggs OBA #7583
Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371
Richard T. Garren OBA #3253
Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010
Robert A. Nance OBA #6581
D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641
David P. Page OBA #6852
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN,

Interestingly, even though Simmons has withheld complete information regarding its financial condition, it claims in footnote 3 of its Motion that Mr. Payne's methodology and analysis are in error and confirms what the State has suspected all along. Simmons will seek to exclude Mr. Payne's analysis even though it has given him incomplete information. This gamesmanship should not be allowed.

ORBISON & LEWIS 502 West Sixth Street Tulsa, OK 74119 (918) 587-3161

Louis W. Bullock OBA #1305 Robert M. Blakemore OBA 18656 BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE 110 West Seventh Street Suite 707 Tulsa OK 74119 (918) 584-2001

Frederick C. Baker (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Lee M. Heath (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth C. Ward (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth Claire Xidis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MOTLEY RICE, LLC
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
Mount Pleasant, SC 29465 (843) 216-9280

William H. Narwold (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Ingrid L. Moll (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MOTLEY RICE, LLC
20 Church Street, 17th Floor
Hartford, CT 06103
(860) 882-1676

Jonathan D. Orent (admitted *pro hac vice*) Michael G. Rousseau (admitted *pro hac vice*) Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 321 South Main Street Providence, RI 02940 (401) 457-7700

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 27th day of February, 2008, I electronically transmitted the above and foregoing pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and a transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General	fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us
Kelly H. Burch, Assistant Attorney General	kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us
J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General	trevor_hammons@oag.state.ok.us
Daniel P. Lennington, Assistant Attorney General	daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov
M. David Riggs	driggs@riggsabney.com
Joseph P. Lennart	jlennart@riggsabney.com
Richard T. Garren	rgarren@riggsabney.com
Sharon K. Weaver	sweaver@riggsabney.com
Robert A. Nance	rnance@riggsabney.com
D. Sharon Gentry	sgentry@riggsabney.com
David P. Page	dpage@riggsabney.com
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON &	LEWIS
Louis Werner Bullock	lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com
Robert M. Blakemore	bblakemore@bullock-blakemore.com
BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE	
Frederick C. Baker	fbaker@motleyrice.com
Lee M. Heath	lheath@motleyrice.com
Elizabeth C. Ward	lward@motleyrice.com
Elizabeth Claire Xidis	cxidis@motleyrice.com
William H. Narwold	bnarwold@motleyrice.com
Ingrid L. Moll	imoll@motleyrice.com
Jonathan D. Orent	jorent@motleyrice.com
Michael G. Rousseau	mrousseau@motleyrice.com
Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick	ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com
MOTLEY RICE, LLC	
Counsel for State of Oklahoma	
Robert P. Redemann	rredemann@pmrlaw.net
PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BA	RRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.
David C. Senger	david@cgmlawok.com
Robert E Sanders	rsanders@youngwilliams.com
Edwin Stephen Williams	steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A.	
Counsel for Cal-Maine Farms, Inc and Cal-M	Iaine Foods, Inc.
Country of the Countr	
John H. Tucker	jtucker@rhodesokla.com
Theresa Noble Hill	thill@rhodesokla.com
Colin Hampton Tucker	ctucker@rhodesokla.com
Kerry R. Lewis	klewis@rhodesokla.com
RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER	& GABLE
Terry Wayen West	terry@thewestlawfirm.com
THE WEST LAW FIRM	
D 1 D 11 1	Johni ah @facara com
Delmar R. Ehrich	dehrich@faegre.com bjones@faegre.com
Bruce Jones	kklee@faegre.com
Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee	twalker@faegre.com
Todd P. Walker	cdolan@faegre.com
Christopher H. Dolan Melissa C. Collins	mcollins@faegre.com
FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP	meonins@idegre.com
FAEGRE & BENSON, LLI	
Dara D. Mann	dmann@mckennalong.com
MCKENNA, LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP	
Counsel for Cargill, Inc. & Cargill Turkey Pr	roduction, LLC
James Martin Graves	jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com
Gary V Weeks	gweeks@bassettlawfirm.com
Woody Bassett	wbassett@bassettlawfirm.com
V. C. Dunna Tucker	kctucker@bassettlawfirm.com
K. C. Dupps Tucker	Rotacker (agoussettia vi iii iii iii iii iii iii iii iii ii
BASSETT LAW FIRM	Rottorollegoussetta
BASSETT LAW FIRM	
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose	
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com s, Inc.
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms A. Scott McDaniel	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com s, Inc. smcdaniel@mhla-law.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms A. Scott McDaniel Nicole Longwell	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com s, Inc. smcdaniel@mhla-law.com nlongwell@mhla-law.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms A. Scott McDaniel Nicole Longwell Philip Hixon	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com s, Inc. smcdaniel@mhla-law.com nlongwell@mhla-law.com phixon@mhla-law.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms A. Scott McDaniel Nicole Longwell Philip Hixon Craig A. Merkes	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com s, Inc. smcdaniel@mhla-law.com nlongwell@mhla-law.com phixon@mhla-law.com cmerkes@mhla-law.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms A. Scott McDaniel Nicole Longwell Philip Hixon	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com s, Inc. smcdaniel@mhla-law.com nlongwell@mhla-law.com phixon@mhla-law.com cmerkes@mhla-law.com

William A. Waddell, Jr.	waddell@fec.net	
David E. Choate	dchoate@fec.net	
FRIDAY, ELDREDGE & CLARK, LLP		
Counsel for Arkansas Farm Bureau Federation		
Barry Greg Reynolds	reynolds@titushillis.com	
Jessica E. Rainey	jrainey@titushillis.com	
TITUS, HILLIS, REYNOLDS, LOVE,		
DICKMAN & MCCALMON		
Nikaa Baugh Jordan	njordan@lightfootlaw.com	
William S. Cox, III	wcox@lightfootlaw.com	
LIGHTFOOT, FRANKLIN & WHITE, LLC		
Counsel for American Farm Bureau and National Cattlemen's Beef Association		

Also on this 27^{th} day of February, 2008 I mailed a copy of the above and foregoing pleading to:

David Gregory Brown

Lathrop & Gage LC 314 E HIGH ST JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

Thomas C Green

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K ST NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005

Dustin McDaniel

Justin Allen

Office of the Attorney General (Little Rock) 323 Center St, Ste 200 Little Rock, AR 72201-2610

Steven B. Randall

58185 County Road 658 Kansas, Ok 74347

Cary Silverman

Victor E Schwartz

Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP (Washington DC) 600 14TH ST NW STE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-2004

Secretary of the Environment State of Oklahoma 3800 NORTH CLASSEN OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73118

> /s/ Richard T. Garren Richard T. Garren